

LISA GOLLIN EVANS (MA SB # 200730)
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Earthjustice
21 Ocean Avenue
Marblehead, MA 01945
(781) 631-4119
levans@earthjustice.org

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

JAN HASSELMAN (WSB #29107)
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Earthjustice
705 Second Avenue, Suite 203
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 343-7340
(206) 343-1526 [FAX]
jhasselman@earthjustice.org

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sierra Club, Great
Basin Resource Watch, Amigos Bravos, and
Idaho Conservation League*

GREGORY C. LOARIE (CSB #215859)
Earthjustice
426 - 17th Street, 5th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
(510) 550-6725
(510) 550-6749 [FAX]
gloarie@earthjustice.org

Local Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SIERRA CLUB, et al.,)	Case No. 3:08-cv-01409-WHA
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH
)	CUMBERLAND
STEPHEN JOHNSON, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants,)	
)	
and)	
)	
SUPERFUND SETTLEMENTS PROJECT, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant-Intervenors.)	

DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH CUMBERLAND
(Case No. 3:08-cv-01409-WHA)

*Earthjustice
705 Second Ave., Suite 203
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 343-7340*

1 I, ELIZABETH CUMBERLAND, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am over the age of 21 and competent to testify to all matters contained herein.

3 2. I reside at 761 Martindale Falls, Martindale, Texas 78655. I was born in
4 Kingsville, Texas and lived on the farm where Uranium Resources, Inc. ("URI") is mining for
5 uranium until I reached adulthood. I have lived at 761 Martindale Falls, Martindale, Texas
6 78655 since 1997.

7 3. I have been a member of the Sierra Club since 2007.

8 4. My family's farm is located in the heart of the Texas site of the Kingsville Dome
9 in situ leach uranium mine owned and operated by URI.

10 5. Since 1988 when URI started actual mining, the groundwater on our land has
11 been dangerous to drink or use for any purpose, the surface has lain dormant, toxic with
12 radioactivity, and now the farmland is fenced in by URI, padlocked, posted with signs warning
13 of radioactivity, and returning to brush mixed with broken wellheads which expose the
14 groundwater to further contamination. To date, URI has not submitted an application to begin
15 the restoration certification process, a process which takes a minimum of twelve months, but
16 usually takes several years.

17 6. Our farmland in PAA2 has also lost ninety percent of its value in dollars. Much
18 worse, the legacy of good farming established by our grandfather and father is now, instead, a
19 danger to our neighbors' water wells, a hazard to migrating birds flying within the largest
20 migratory route in the United States – the Great Coastal Birding Trail, a threat of lowered land
21 values to the whole neighborhood, and a "barren wasteland" according to the appraisal district.

22 7. At present, URI has three production areas, PAA1, PAA2, and PAA3. My family
23 owns property in PAA2 and PAA3. In PAA2, my family owns the surface (not the minerals) of
24 80 acres, Farm Lots (1-8), Block 49, Kleberg Town and Improvement Co., Vol. 1, Page 34,
25 Kleberg County, Texas.

26 8. Prior to mining, our land was farmed in cotton and grain. It was valued at \$938
27 per acre in 1988, also the year of our father's death. See Exhibit A (summary of property tax
28

1 values filed in probate records). Today, this same land is valued by the tax appraisal district at
2 \$223 per acre. See Exhibit B (2008 property tax value). Other nearby land owned by my family
3 facing the same highway has more than doubled in value to \$2,412 per acre. Specifically,
4 eighteen acres located about one mile east, valued at \$982 per acre in 1988, are presently valued
5 at \$2,412 per acre. See Exhibit C (2008 property tax value); see also Exhibit A (1988 property
6 tax for Block 47, Lots (1), (2), (7) and (8)).

7 9. Our groundwater in PAA2, which was generally tested at 11 micrograms uranium
8 per liter prior to mining, is now testing at approximately 3,300 micrograms uranium per liter.
9 See Exhibit D (URI 1st QTR 2008 restoration progress report). This extremely high
10 concentration (the EPA allows 30 micrograms uranium per liter in drinking, livestock, and
11 irrigation water) persists, although URI reports to the Securities Exchange Commission that it
12 has done restoration work since 2000. See Exhibit E (10-K/A filed 4/10/08; URRE reported at
13 Edgar Online); see also Edgar Online for 10-K filed in prior years 2000-2007.

14 10. Even worse, URI makes claims that the groundwater was concentrated in uranium
15 prior to mining and, therefore, even if it ultimately restores the water according to TCEQ rules,
16 the water will be 172 times more concentrated in PAA2 than it was prior to exploration.

17 11. URI reports to its investors that so far it has spent \$3,179,000 for seven years of
18 groundwater restoration work in PAA1 and PAA2. See Exhibit E (10-K/A filed 4/10/08 URRE
19 reported at Edgar Online); see also 10-K filed in prior years 2000-20007. Yet, after years of
20 pouring good water through the underground in situ mine (using groundwater flush and reverse
21 osmosis treatment), the groundwater remains at very high levels of uranium in both production
22 areas. In PAA1 it is 1,400 micrograms per liter, and in PAA2 it is 3,280 micrograms per liter,
23 according to URI's 2008 report to the TCEQ. See Exhibit D (URI 1st QTR 2008 restoration
24 progress report).

25 12. In the year 2000, URI threatened bankruptcy. On August 9, 2000, TCEQ staff
26 attorney Don Redmond told me that the total amount in bonds allocated for restoration of the
27 groundwater at the Kingsville Dome mine ("KVD") (which encompassed PAA1 and PAA2) was
28

1 \$1,741,542.00. At that time, the TCEQ and the Texas Department of Health (“TDH”) held
2 restoration bonds for PAA1 and PAA2. See Exhibit F (memo based on conversation with TCEQ
3 attorney Don Redmond). As a result of URI’s continued threats, in 2000 the TCEQ and TDH
4 entered into an agreement with URI whereby all collateral for the restoration bonds was released
5 to URI, and it agreed to begin restoration of both production areas.

6 13. Now, with restoration of the groundwater nowhere in sight, and no action taken to
7 even initiate the restoration certification process, URI presently reports it has spent almost 3.2
8 million dollars trying to restore the groundwater. Yet, the TCEQ had required only \$1.7 million
9 in bonding for groundwater restoration. Clearly, the bond amount was not even close to what
10 has been required so far.

11 14. URI is presently mining in PAA3 where there is no reason to expect a different
12 outcome. In PAA3, my family owns the surface (not the minerals) of 160 acres of Farm Lots
13 (11-14), Section 41, Kleberg Town & Improvement Co., Kleberg County, Texas. Also in PAA3,
14 my family owns the surface and an undivided interest in minerals in an additional 160 acres of
15 Farm Lots (3-6), Block 48, Kleberg Town and Improvement Co., Kleberg County, Texas. In
16 Texas, one mineral owner cannot prevent other mineral owners of the same property from
17 developing their minerals. In late 2006, my brothers ratified an expired mineral lease for these
18 160 acres because URI was preparing to mine on our land without a ratification since it had
19 leases from other mineral owners.

20 15. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency errs when it does not require facilities
21 that handle hazardous substances, like uranium mines, to provide proper bonding consistent with
22 the degree and duration of risk associated with their activities. Restoration bond amounts should
23 be based on the amount of money required to return the groundwater to the actual baseline,
24 determined by an independent agency through accurate and statistically valid measurements.

25 16. If the U.S. EPA requires uranium mines, like URI, to maintain financial assurance
26 sufficient to return the water to the actual baseline, restoration of the groundwater in my
27 community would occur and URI would have greater incentive to prevent the degradation of
28

1 groundwater while mining in PAA3.

2 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true
3 and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this 28 day of August, 2008, at Kingsville,
4 Texas.

5
6 
7 ELIZABETH CUMBERLAND

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH CUMBERLAND
(Case No. 3:08-cv-01409-WHA) -4-

Earthjustice
705 Second Ave., Suite 203
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 343-7340

EXHIBIT A

FOR CONFIDENTIAL USE ONLY

"W E CUMBERLAND"

0016

PAGE

CONTROL NUMBER	OWNER NAME	SCH REA REAL AG	MARKET VAL	IMPROVEMENT	LEGAL DESCRIPTION #1	ACRES
1	29000202510101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D1	11,670	55,000	0 20-K T & I CO N62 AC OF 25 GEO#5412-2000	62.000
2	290002207102192 CUMBERLAND W E	901 D3	2,280	10,000	0 PT 7 22K T & I CO SYM D GEO#5449-6000	10.000
3	290002211100192 CUMBERLAND W E	901 D3	11,830	50,330	0 W50.33 22K T & I CO 11-14 GEO#5452-8000	50.330
4	290004004100101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D3	19,490	92,250	0 W95 AC 40-K T & I CO 1-8 GEO#5543-3000	95.
5	290004013100101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D3	6,870	35,050	0 S40 AC 40-K T & I CO 13-14 GEO#5545-8000	40.000
6	290004111101101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D3	7,420	41,780	0 E53.33 41-K T & I CO AC11-14 GEO#5548-3000	53.330
7	290004701105101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 E1	1,000	0 E1 63,500 PT 1,2 47-K T & I CO 7,8 SYM 5	1.000	
8	290004701115101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D1	3,750	17,960	0 PT 1,2 47-K T & I CO 7,8 SYM 5 GEO#5619-3000	18.300
9	290004805100101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D1	10,800	47,380	0 W53.33 48-K T & I CO AC 3-6 GEO#5628-1000	53.330
10	290004901102101 CUMBERLAND W E	902 D3	14,440	75,050	0 W PT E 49-K T & I CO 200 AC 1-8 GEO#5633-4000	80.000

OF RECORDS

10

EXHIBIT B

TaxNetUSA : Kleberg County Property Information

PIDN: 14456 GEO: 290004901102101

Owner Name CUMBERLAND W E TRUST**Mailing Address** % DALE CUMBERLAND CO-TRUSTEE
1421 SLEEPY HOLLOW
NEW BRAUNFELS, TX 78130-**Location** CO R D 1080**Legal** K T & I CO, BLOCK 49, LOT W PT E200 AC 1-8, 50%
UNDIVIDED INTEREST, (DAC: 40.00), ACRES 80.
50% UNDIVIDED INTEREST, (DAC: 40.00)**Entity Code Entity Name Tax Rate 2007**

GKL Kleberg County 0.646400

SRC Ricardo ISD 1.170000

WST South Texas
Water Authority 0.056880

CAD

Total 1.87328***Total Estimated Taxes \$167.10****Data up to date as of Aug 12, 2008 including 2008 Certified values.****Note:**The Total Estimated Taxes are provided as a courtesy and should not be relied upon in making financial or other decisions. *Tax amount does not include any abatements or exemptions.**Property Details**

Deed Date //

Deed Volume

Deed Page

Docket No.

Exemptions

Freeze Exempt F

ARB Protest F

Agent Code 0

Land Acres 80.0000

Block 49

Tract or Lot W PT E200 AC 1-8

Abstract Code S2900

Neighborhood Code

Value Information**2008 Certified**

Land Value \$8,920.00

Improvement Value \$0.00

AG Value \$0.00

AG Productivity Value \$0.00

Timber Value \$0.00

Timber Productivity Value \$0.00

Assessed Value \$8,920.00

Total Value **\$8,920.00**

Improvement Information

Improvement ID State Category Description

Segment Information

Imp ID Seg ID Type Code Description Class Effective Year Built Area

Total Living Area 0

Land Information

Land ID	Type Code	SPTB Code	Homestead	Size-Acres	Front	Depth	Size-SQFT
4664	BRNW	D2	F	20.000	0	0	871,200
4665	BRNW	D2	F	13.500	0	0	566,280
4666	BRNW	D2	F	30.000	0	0	1,306,800
4667	BRNW	D2	F	12.000	0	0	522,720
4668	BRNW	D2	F	4.500	0	0	174,240

Improvement Sketch

There is no sketch data available for this parcel.

EXHIBIT C

TaxNetUSA : Kleberg County Property Information

PIDN: 25414 GEO: 290004701115101

Owner Name CUMBERLAND BYRON L**Mailing Address** P O BOX 493
PORT LAVACA, TX 77979-**Location** 1118 FM RD**Legal** K T & I CO, BLOCK 47, LOT PT 1, 2, 7, 8, ACRES 18.3, (SYM 5)
(SYM 5)**Entity Code Entity Name Tax Rate 2007**

GKL Kleberg County 0.646400

SRC Ricardo ISD 1.170000

WST South Texas
Water Authority 0.056880

CAD

Total 1.87328***Total Estimated Taxes \$826.87****Data up to date as of Aug 12, 2008 including 2008 Certified values.****Note:**The Total Estimated Taxes are provided as a courtesy and should not be relied upon in making financial or other decisions. *Tax amount does not include any abatements or exemptions.**Property Details**

Deed Date	02/05/2007
Deed Volume	354
Deed Page	935
Docket No.	
Exemptions	
Freeze Exempt	F
ARB Protest	F
Agent Code	0
Land Acres	18.3000
Block	47
Tract or Lot	PT 1, 2, 7, 8
Abstract Code	S2900
Neighborhood Code	

Value Information**2008 Certified**

Land Value	\$0.00
Improvement Value	\$0.00
AG Value	\$44,140.00
AG Productivity Value	\$2,420.00
Timber Value	\$0.00
Timber Productivity Value	\$0.00
Assessed Value	\$44,140.00
Total Value	\$44,140.00

Improvement Information

Improvement ID	State Category	Description
----------------	----------------	-------------

Segment Information

Imp ID	Seg ID	Type Code	Description	Class	Effective Year Built	Area
						Total Living Area 0

Land Information

Land ID	Type Code	SPTB Code	Homestead	Size-Acres	Front	Depth	Size-SQFT
16034	IMPR2	D1	F	6.610	0	0	287,932
132995	IMPR3	D1	F	11.690	0	0	509,216

Improvement Sketch

There is no sketch data available for this parcel.

EXHIBIT D

UIC/UKO2827/RP1

URI, INC.

(A Subsidiary of Uranium Resources, Inc.)

Rosita Operations
HC01, Box 50
San Diego, Texas 78384
Telephone: (361) 279-3307
Fax: (361) 279-2260

650 South Edmonds Lane
Suite 108
Lewisville TX, 75067
Telephone: (972) 219-3330
Fax: (972) 219-3311

Kingsville Operations
641 East FM 1118
Kingsville, Texas 78363
Telephone: (361) 595-5731
Fax: (361) 595-0403

April 14, 2008

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (MCI72)
Office of Compliance and Enforcement
Homeland Security
Attention: Dale Kohler
12100 Park 35 Circle (Bldg "C")
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RECEIVED

APR 23 2008

TCEQ
CENTRAL FILE ROOM

RE: Progress Report (1st QTR 2008) for Restoration Activities at
URI's Kingsville Dome and Rosita Uranium Facilities in South Texas

Dear Mr. Kohler:

Attached is the quarterly report covering restoration progress at the Kingsville Dome and Rosita mines. This is a complimentary report, rather than required, since the Groundwater Restoration Performance Agreement with the TCEQ and the DSHS has expired. This document covers the progress for the calendar quarter ending March 31, 2008.

As in the past, I have included two copies of the report so that you may file one in each of the Kingsville and Rosita files. I have also included an additional copy for Muhammadali Abbaszadeh. Should you have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at the Kingsville office.

Sincerely,



Ronald E. Grant
Reservoir Engineer
URI, Inc.

cc: M. Buckley, TCEQ- Corpus
Muhammadali Abbaszadeh, TCEQ - Austin
Mark Delinger

Uranium Resources, Inc
Quarterly Restoration Progress Report
Kingsville and Rosita Properties

This is the First Quarter, 2008 URI Restoration Report for URI's Kingsville Dome and Rosita Projects. It covers groundwater restoration activities at URI's uranium mine facilities in South Texas for the period January 1, 2008 through March 31, 2008. During the first quarter of 2008, URI treated 110.14 million gallons of groundwater at the two South Texas facilities.

KINGSVILLE

During the quarter, KVD treated 70.92 MM gallons as compared to the minimum requirement of 61.04 MM gallons. This is 9.88 million gallons more than the minimum requirement for this quarter.

Wellfield Operations

We are now restoring wells in WF-6 which is the only remaining wellfield to be restored in PAA-2 North of F.M. 1118. Individual well plots and baseline data for PAA2 may be seen in the Appendices. Baseline data for PAA1 and PAA2 is summarized in Table No. 1 and Table No. 2 respectively.

KINGSVILLE RO OPERATIONS

While in operation, the RO processed 61.83 MM gallons of groundwater and sent 46.64 MM gallons of clean product water back to the field. Conductivities for product water averaged 1148 uhmos based on a feed average of 2193 uhmos. Reject for the month averaged 24.6% of feed. Detailed operating data can be seen in the Appendices. At the end of this quarter there have been operational problems with the RO. Tuesday 3-25-08, the differential pressure on the first stage for the RO begin rising above its normal operating pressure of 25 psi. After three days, the differential pressure was in the 70 to 90 psi. range, and the flow capacity for the RO was reduced to approximately 50% of its normal capacity. This was occurring at the same time that the feed pump for the RO began showing signs of failure, and it was not certain at that time which was the major contributor to the loss of flow. The feed pump was disassembled on Friday, 3-28-08 and required replacement of the impeller which was done that very day. Flow was erratic over the weekend, and on the evening of Monday, 3-31-08, it was discovered that the RO had failed internally and was passing water without treatment. It was shut in at that time. Repairs are presently in progress and all membranes have been removed from their tubes and are undergoing inspection.

WDW 248

WDW-248 injection for the 1st Quarter, 2008 was 24.29 MM gallons. There have been no problems with WDW 248 during this quarter.

TABLE No. 1
KINGSVILLE DOME MINE
COMPARISON OF PAA1 BASELINE WELLS TO LIMITS

	pH	Ec (umhos)	U3O8 (mg/l)	Cl (mg/l)	Ca (mg/l)	HCO3 (mg/l)	SO4 (mg/l)	Mb (mg/l)
BL-EX-1	Well Abandoned							
BL-EX-2	7.4	1485	2.1	199	93	342	226	1.50
BL-EX-3	7.4	1635	2.4	246	135	368	226	0.95
BL-I-1	7.3	1588	0.4	246	135	387	426	3.70
BL-I-2	8.0	1748	0.2	233	129	368	275	0.05
BL-I-3	7.8	1935	2.5	246	117	406	306	0.06
BL-I-4	7.4	1686	0.4	206	75	366	318	2.10
BL-I-5	7.1	1760	3.4	186	304	431	426	2.30
BL-I-6	Insufficient Sample							
BL-I-7	7.7	1826	0.3	246	87	366	368	3.30
BL-I-8	7.1	1400	1.3	133	210	393	321	0.94
BL-I-9	7.0	1655	3.1	93	234	381	527	5.00
BL-I-10	7.2	1456	2.2	199	99	342	197	4.90
BL-I-11	Insufficient Sample							
BL-I-12	7.3	2840	0.2	266	195	444	440	2.70
BL-I-13	7.7	1567	0.3	219	150	317	240	0.19

Comparison to Restoration Table Values

Well Average	7.4	1737	1.4	209	151	378	330	2.13
Restoration Table	8.74	1717	0.164	234	20.8	268	204	0.06

Comparison to Restoration Range Table Values

Well Average	7.4	1737	1.44	209	151	378	330	2.13
Restoration Range Table (High)	9.50	2100	1.89	352	74	505	310	0.84
Restoration Range Table (Low)	7.37	1470	0.002	196	5.15	142	13	<.01

Table No. 2
KINGSVILLE DOME MINE
COMPARISON OF PAA2 BASELINE WELLS TO LIMITS

Well	pH	Ec (umhos)	U3O8 (mg/l)	Cl (mg/l)	Ca (mg/l)	HCO3 (mg/l)	SO4 (mg/l)	Mo (mg/l)
BL 1491	8.0	1573	0.2	219	246	330	206	0.03
BL 547	7.6	1972	6.9	246	117	409	567	3.10
BL 1240	8.0	1362	3.9	179	51	342	110	2.00
BL 1265	7.3	1445	2.1	206	102	336	179	3.90
BL 1047	Insufficient Sample							

Comparison to Restoration Table Values

Well Average	7.7	1588	3.28	213	129	354	266	2.26
Restoration Table	7.37-8.66	1662	1.89	224	25.3	327	224	0.38

Comparison to Restoration Range Table Values

Well Average	7.7	1588	3.28	213	129	354	266	2.26
Restoration Range Table (High)	9.50	2100	1.89	352	74	505	310	0.84
Restoration Range Table (Low)	7.37	1470	0.002	196	5.15	142	13	<.01

ROSITA

Water treated for the first quarter, 2008 totaled 39.22 MM gallons. This is less than the full capacity for Rosita's RO due to our impending shutdown of restoration activities at our Rosita property. In just a few more weeks, restoration activities will cease in PAA-1, PAA-2 and PAA-3. At that point, restoration will be declared complete in PAA-1 and PAA-2, and stabilization will commence. PAA-3, however, is presently being drilled and cased for WF-8. When production ceases in WF-8, restoration operations will once again resume and stabilization can commence when that restoration is complete.

RO Operations

When in service, the Rosita RO processed over 37.24 MM gallons of groundwater and sent 24.59 MM gallons of product back to the field. Conductivities for product water averaged 1107 uhmos based on a feed average of 3035 uhmos. Reject for the month averaged 34.0% of feed. Detailed operating data can be seen in the Appendix.

EXHIBIT E

10-K/A filed 4/10/2008 Uranium Resources, Inc (URRE)

Item 2. Properties.

South Texas

We currently control 3 major properties in the state of Texas. The Kingsville Dome, Rosita and Vasquez properties are shown in Figure No. 2.1 and are described below.

Figure No 2.1. Texas Properties Location Map

¶ FPRIVATE
"TYPE=PICT;ALT=GRAPHIC"

Kingsville Dome (Figure 2.2)

The Property. The Kingsville Dome property consists of mineral leases from private landowners on about 2,354 gross and net acres located in central Kleberg County, Texas. The leases provide for royalties based upon a percentage of uranium sales of 6.25%. The leases have expiration dates ranging from 2000 to 2007. We hold most of these leases by production; and with a few minor exceptions, all the leases contain clauses

that permit us to extend the leases not held by production by payment of a per acre royalty ranging from \$10 to \$30. We have paid such royalties on all material acreage. Mineralization is found in the Goliad formation at depths of 600 to 750 feet.

Production History. Initial production commenced in May 1988. From then until July 1999, we produced a total of 3.5 million pounds. Production was stopped in July 1999, because of depressed uranium prices. We spent about \$8.0 million in capital expenditures at Kingsville Dome in 2007. We

26

resumed production at Kingsville Dome in April 2006 and produced 338,100 pounds of uranium in 2007. We spent about \$10.4 million in capital expenditures and produced 94,100 pounds of uranium in 2006. We believe that there is a significant quantity of uranium remaining at Kingsville Dome.

Permitting Status. A radioactive material license and underground injection control permit have been issued. As new areas are proposed for production, additional authorizations under the area permit are required. Approval of our Production Area Authorization #3 was received in February 2006 which allowed for the start-up of production at Kingsville Dome in April 2006. See " **Legal Proceedings** ."

Restoration and Reclamation. During 2007, we conducted restoration activities as required by the permits and licenses on this project, spending approximately \$595,000 on such activities. In 2006 and 2005, we spent about \$321,000 and \$358,000 in restoration costs.

EXHIBIT F

Rick Lowerre
fax no.

Craig Holmes
fax no. 512-250-0727

From: Elizabeth Cumberland
tel. no. 512-805-0566

Date: August 9, 2000

Re: Bond amounts, agencies, purposes, and site allocation which were provided today by staff attorney Don Redmond, TNRCC.

\$ 233,693.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Kingsville
\$ 390,123.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Kingsville
\$ 91,149.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Kingsville
\$ 125,000.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Kingsville
<u>\$1,741,542.00</u>	<u>TDH</u>	<u>ground water restoration</u>	<u>KVD</u>
\$ 699,458.00	TDH	surface restoration	Kingsville & Rosita
\$ 92,076.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Rosita
\$ 227,779.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Rosita
\$ 100,299.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Rosita
\$ 126,000.00	TNRCC	plug & abandonment	Rosita
<u>\$1,909,643.00</u>	<u>TDH</u>	<u>ground water restoration</u>	<u>Rosita</u>
TOTAL	\$5,736,762.00	minus \$546,154 (Rosita) = <u>\$5,190,608.00</u>	

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE BONDS WHICH ARE UNDERLINED ARE THE ONLY BONDS CONTEMPLATED IN THE AGREEMENT.

Appendix A
Uranium Resources, Inc.

Restoration Expenditures
July 1, 2000 - December 31, 2001

	<u>Jul-00</u>	<u>Aug-00</u>	<u>Sep-00</u>	<u>Oct-00</u>	<u>Nov-00</u>	<u>Dec-00</u>	<u>Jan-01</u>	<u>Feb-01</u>	<u>Mar-01</u>
KVD Direct Restoration	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	63,503	57,503	57,503	57,503
Rosita Direct Restoration	38,567	44,267	44,267	44,267	44,267	50,267	42,898	42,898	42,898
Rosita Restoration Capital	26,850	68,630	5,000						
RAV, MSP & THE plus 20% Burden rate**	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220
Total	\$140,140	\$187,620	\$123,990	\$118,990	\$118,990	\$130,990	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621
Quarterly Totals			\$451,750			\$368,970			\$352,863
Cummulative Expenditures	\$140,140	\$327,760	\$451,750	\$570,740	\$689,730	\$820,720	\$938,341	\$1,055,962	\$1,173,583
	<u>Apr-01</u>	<u>May-01</u>	<u>Jun-01</u>	<u>Jul-01</u>	<u>Aug-01</u>	<u>Sep-01</u>	<u>Oct-01</u>	<u>Nov-01</u>	<u>Dec-01</u>
KVD Direct Restoration	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	57,503	63,503
Rosita Direct Restoration	42,898	42,898	42,898	42,898	42,898	42,898	42,898	42,898	48,898
Rosita Restoration Capital									
RAV, MSP & THE plus 20% Burden rate**	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220	17,220
Total	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$117,621	\$129,621
Quarterly Totals			\$352,863			\$352,863			\$364,863
Cummulative Expenditures	\$1,291,204	\$1,408,825	\$1,526,446	\$1,644,067	\$1,761,688	\$1,879,309	\$1,996,930	\$2,114,551	\$2,244,172

**NOTE: 100% OF RAV, 16 hrs/week for MSP, & 8 hours/week for THE